IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
APPELLATE SIDE

CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION No.264 of 1998
WITH
CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION No.244 of 1998
WITH
CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION No.265 of 1998

Kapal Mehra. ... Applicant.

Vs.

Indusind Enterprises &
Finance Ltd and another. ... Respondents.

A.V.Doijode for the applicant.

Ms.V.D.Jaisingh for respondent No.1.

Y.S.Shinde, APP for respondent No.2- State.

CORAM: V.C.DAGA, J.

DATED: 4th September 2007.

P.C.:

. The learned counsel for the applicant pointed out that there is a typographical error crept in the order dated 3rd July, 2007. He submits that in para-13 in sixth and seventh lines it has been stated as "accused no.3, the signatory of the cheque" instead of "accused no.2". Similarly, in the seventh line of para-18 it has been stated as "accused no.3" instead of "accused no.2"

2. Accordingly, the word and figure "no.3" appearing in seventh lines of both paras-13 and 18 of

the order dated 3rd July, 2007 be substituted as "no.2" and be read accordingly. Rest of the order stands as it is.

3. Registry to issue certified copy of the order dated 3rd July, 2007 after carrying out necessary corrections.

JUDGE